

## FW: Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

**janet.rowland@mesacounty.us Janet Rowland**

Tuesday, February 8, 2022 at 9:49:22 PM Mountain Standard Time

To: scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us Scott McGinnis, cody.davis@mesacounty.us 'Coty Davis', peter.baier@mesacounty.us 'Peter Baier', todd.starr@mesacounty.us 'Todd Starr'

Sorry Scott, it looks like he had a typo in your email address...

---

**From:** Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:48 PM

**To:** 'Coty Davis' <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); 'Todd Starr' <[todd.starr@mesacounty.us](mailto:todd.starr@mesacounty.us)>; 'Peter Baier' <[peter.baier@mesacounty.us](mailto:peter.baier@mesacounty.us)>

**Subject:** RE: Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

It's interesting that he copied Bobbie Daniel on this. I'm not sure what his point is... this ship has sailed.

And they love a third party audit, but only the 3<sup>rd</sup> party of their choice. I've seen her experts and I don't want them messing with our equipment.

This is getting old...

---

**From:** Ed Arnos <[transys@bresnan.net](mailto:transys@bresnan.net)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 6:23 PM

**To:** Coty Davis <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); [janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us); [bobbieddaniel@gmail.com](mailto:bobbieddaniel@gmail.com)

**Subject:** Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

I have attached a word file that details why the decertification of the Mesa County election equipment was not justified. The one line summary of the argument is recertification procedures, specified by the Colorado Secretary of State, were already in place and offered the ability to recertify the equipment quickly and at trivial expense.

I have also commented on why taking a disk image of any computer system is a standard maintenance practice, of particularly significant value in managing an election computer system, and should be a system maintenance requirement and not a cause for legal action against the person who takes it.

Also, voter skepticism of election system processes and equipment is destructive to citizen's belief in our democratic system. Refusing to allow 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits of system processes and equipment is high on the list of actions that will maximize that skepticism. We should automate the process of demonstrating the system's ability to correctly scan and tally ballots and the process of recertifying our election equipment. This will allow us to easily demonstrate the system working correctly to the public between elections and to recertify the system after any 3<sup>rd</sup> party audit. When an audit discovers flaws, we know what to fix to become the "Gold Standard".

When we are the "Gold Standard" we will not have to declare it. 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits will confirm it.

I hope you find the attached information useful in avoiding future decertifications.

Ed Arnos

**cody.davis@mesacounty.us Cody Davis**

Wednesday, February 9, 2022 at 7:13:53 AM Mountain Standard Time

To: janet.rowland@mesacounty.us Janet Rowland

Cc: scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us Scott McGinnis, peter.baier@mesacounty.us Peter Baier, todd.starr@mesacounty.us Todd Starr

Ed is a really intelligent guy and is very apolitical in this thing. He actually makes some very good points about the desertification and the potential use for disk images. This is not to justify how Tina handled everything, but it's not wrong to copy disk images and then hold for future reference. The SOS actually helped another county do it, and that ended positively without desertification of their machines. Ed is a computer engineer and is trying to solve the problem of mistrust, but, unfortunately, a logical answer won't pacify the illogic that exists among this group and he understands that.

**Cody Davis****Mesa County Commissioner**

544 Rood Ave  
Grand Junction, CO 81501

**Office:** 970-244-1605**Cell:** 970-640-4330**Email:** [cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)**www.mesacounty.us**On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:49 PM Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)> wrote:

Sorry Scott, it looks like he had a typo in your email address...

**From:** Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)>**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:48 PM**To:** 'Coty Davis' <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); 'Todd Starr' <[todd.starr@mesacounty.us](mailto:todd.starr@mesacounty.us)>; 'Peter Baier' <[peter.baier@mesacounty.us](mailto:peter.baier@mesacounty.us)>**Subject:** RE: Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

It's interesting that he copied Bobbie Daniel on this. I'm not sure what his point is... this ship has sailed.

And they love a third party audit, but only the 3<sup>rd</sup> party of their choice. I've seen her experts and I don't want them messing with our equipment.

This is getting old...

**From:** Ed Arnos <[transys@bresnan.net](mailto:transys@bresnan.net)>**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 6:23 PM**To:** Coty Davis <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); [janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us); [bobbieddaniel@gmail.com](mailto:bobbieddaniel@gmail.com)**Subject:** Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

I have attached a word file that details why the decertification of the Mesa County election equipment was not justified. The one line summary of the argument is recertification procedures, specified by the Colorado Secretary of State, were already in place and offered the ability to recertify the equipment quickly and at trivial expense.

I have also commented on why taking a disk image of any computer system is a standard maintenance practice, of particularly significant value in managing an election computer system, and should be a system maintenance requirement and not a cause for legal action against the person who takes it.

Also, voter skepticism of election system processes and equipment is destructive to citizen's belief in our democratic system. Refusing to allow 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits of system processes and equipment is high on the list of actions that will maximize that skepticism. We should automate the process of demonstrating the system's ability to correctly scan and tally ballots and the process of recertifying our election equipment. This will allow us to easily demonstrate the system working correctly to the public between elections and to recertify the system after any 3<sup>rd</sup> party audit. When an audit discovers flaws, we know what to fix to become the "Gold Standard".

When we are the "Gold Standard" we will not have to declare it. 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits will confirm it.

I hope you find the attached information useful in avoiding future decertifications.

Ed Arnos

---

**janet.rowland@mesacounty.us Janet Rowland** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 at 7:20:05 AM Mountain Standard Time  
To: cody.davis@mesacounty.us Cody Davis  
Cc: scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us Scott McGinnis, peter.baier@mesacounty.us Peter Baier, todd.starr@mesacounty.us Todd Starr

Was Ed one of the guys in your office the other day? I'm sure he's a nice guy and well intentioned, I'm just not clear on what he wants us to do at this point. The machines have been decertified and we've purchased new machines. Both sides would have 100% trust in their choice of a third party and 0% choice in the other side's choice. There's so much distrust right now, I don't see how we ever comes to terms with each other.

On Wed, Feb 9, 2022 at 7:14 AM Cody Davis <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)> wrote:

Ed is a really intelligent guy and is very apolitical in this thing. He actually makes some very good points about the desertification and the potential use for disk images. This is not to justify how Tina handled everything, but it's not wrong to copy disk images and then hold for future reference. The SOS actually helped another county do it, and that ended positively without desertification of their machines. Ed is a computer engineer and is trying to solve the problem of mistrust, but, unfortunately, a logical answer won't pacify the illogic that exists among this group and he understands that.

**Cody Davis**  
**Mesa County Commissioner**



544 Rood Ave  
Grand Junction, CO 81501

**Office:** 970-244-1605  
**Cell:** 970-640-4330  
**Email:** [cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)  
**[www.mesacounty.us](http://www.mesacounty.us)**

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:49 PM Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)> wrote:

Sorry Scott, it looks like he had a typo in your email address...

---

**From:** Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:48 PM  
**To:** 'Coty Davis' <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); 'Todd Starr' <[todd.starr@mesacounty.us](mailto:todd.starr@mesacounty.us)>; 'Peter Baier' <[peter.baier@mesacounty.us](mailto:peter.baier@mesacounty.us)>  
**Subject:** RE: Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

It's interesting that he copied Bobbie Daniel on this. I'm not sure what his point is... this ship has sailed.

And they love a third party audit, but only the 3<sup>rd</sup> party of their choice. I've seen her experts and I don't want them messing with our equipment.

This is getting old...

---

**From:** Ed Arnos <[transys@bresnan.net](mailto:transys@bresnan.net)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 6:23 PM  
**To:** Coty Davis <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); [janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us); [bobbieddaniel@gmail.com](mailto:bobbieddaniel@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

I have attached a word file that details why the decertification of the Mesa County election equipment was not justified. The one line summary of the argument is recertification procedures, specified by the Colorado Secretary of State, were already in place and offered the ability to recertify the equipment quickly and at trivial expense.

I have also commented on why taking a disk image of any computer system is a standard maintenance practice, of particularly significant value in managing an election computer system, and should be a system maintenance requirement and not a cause for legal action against the person who takes it.

Also, voter skepticism of election system processes and equipment is destructive to citizen's belief in our democratic system. Refusing to allow 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits of system processes and equipment is high on the list of actions that will maximize that skepticism. We should automate the process of demonstrating the system's ability to correctly scan and tally ballots and the process of recertifying our election equipment. This will allow us to easily demonstrate the system working correctly to the public between elections and to recertify the system after any 3<sup>rd</sup> party audit. When an audit discovers flaws, we know what to fix to become the "Gold Standard".

When we are the "Gold Standard" we will not have to declare it. 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits will confirm it.

I hope you find the attached information useful in avoiding future decertifications.

Ed Arnos

**scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us Scott McInnis**  
To: janet.rowland@mesacounty.us Janet Rowland

Wednesday, February 9, 2022 at 7:20:56 AM Mountain Standard Time

I wonder if in the history of this County even one internal election fraud was ever alleged or found.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:49 PM Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)> wrote:

Sorry Scott, it looks like he had a typo in your email address...

---

**From:** Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:48 PM  
**To:** 'Coty Davis' <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); 'Todd Starr' <[todd.starr@mesacounty.us](mailto:todd.starr@mesacounty.us)>; 'Peter Baier' <[peter.baier@mesacounty.us](mailto:peter.baier@mesacounty.us)>  
**Subject:** RE: Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

It's interesting that he copied Bobbie Daniel on this. I'm not sure what his point is... this ship has sailed.

And they love a third party audit, but only the 3<sup>rd</sup> party of their choice. I've seen her experts and I don't want them messing with our equipment.

This is getting old...

---

**From:** Ed Arnos <[transys@bresnan.net](mailto:transys@bresnan.net)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 6:23 PM  
**To:** Coty Davis <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); [janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us); [bobbieddaniel@gmail.com](mailto:bobbieddaniel@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

I have attached a word file that details why the decertification of the Mesa County election equipment was not justified. The one line summary of the argument is recertification procedures, specified by the Colorado Secretary of State, were already in place and offered the ability to recertify the equipment quickly and at trivial expense.

I have also commented on why taking a disk image of any computer system is a standard maintenance practice, of particularly significant value in managing an election computer system, and should be a system maintenance requirement and not a cause for legal action against the person who takes it.

Also, voter skepticism of election system processes and equipment is destructive to citizen's belief in our democratic system. Refusing to allow 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits of system processes and equipment is high on the list of actions that will maximize that skepticism. We should automate the process of demonstrating the system's ability to correctly scan and tally ballots and the process of recertifying our election equipment. This will allow us to easily demonstrate the system working correctly to the public between elections and to recertify the system after any 3<sup>rd</sup> party audit. When an audit discovers flaws, we know what to fix to become the "Gold Standard".

When we are the "Gold Standard" we will not have to declare it. 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits will confirm it.

I hope you find the attached information useful in avoiding future decertifications.

Ed Arnos

--

**Scott McInnis**

Mesa County Board of Commissioners

(970) 244-1604

[scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us)

---

**cody.davis@mesacounty.us Cody Davis**

Wednesday, February 9, 2022 at 7:23:18 AM Mountain Standard Time

To: [janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us) Janet RowlandCc: [scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us) Scott McGinnis, [peter.baier@mesacounty.us](mailto:peter.baier@mesacounty.us) Peter Baier, [todd.starr@mesacounty.us](mailto:todd.starr@mesacounty.us) Todd Starr

Yes, he was. The problem is perception. You can make the system perfectly secure, but if the people don't perceive it to be secure you still have a problem. That is where his approach falls short. And he is so logical, he doesn't understand how people wouldn't "get it."

**Cody Davis****Mesa County Commissioner****MESA  
COUNTY**

544 Rood Ave

Grand Junction, CO 81501

**Office:** 970-244-1605**Cell:** 970-640-4330**Email:** [cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)**www.mesacounty.us**

On Wed, Feb 9, 2022 at 7:20 AM Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)> wrote:

Was Ed one of the guys in your office the other day? I'm sure he's a nice guy and well intentioned, I'm just not clear on what he wants us to do at this point. The machines have been decertified and we've purchased new machines. Both sides would have 100% trust in their choice of a third party and 0% choice in the other side's choice. There's so much distrust right now, I don't see how we ever comes to terms with each other.

On Wed, Feb 9, 2022 at 7:14 AM Cody Davis <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)> wrote:

Ed is a really intelligent guy and is very apolitical in this thing. He actually makes some very good points about the desertification and the potential use for disk images. This is not to justify how Tina handled everything, but it's not wrong to copy disk images and then hold for future reference. The SOS actually helped another county do it, and that ended positively without desertification of their machines. Ed is a computer engineer and is trying to solve the problem of mistrust, but, unfortunately, a logical answer won't pacify the illogic that exists among this group and he understands that.

**Cody Davis****Mesa County Commissioner****MESA  
COUNTY**

544 Rood Ave

Grand Junction, CO 81501

**Office:** 970-244-1605**Cell:** 970-640-4330**Email:** [cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)**www.mesacounty.us**

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:49 PM Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)> wrote:

Sorry Scott, it looks like he had a typo in your email address...

---

**From:** Janet Rowland <[janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:48 PM  
**To:** 'Coty Davis' <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); 'Todd Starr' <[todd.starr@mesacounty.us](mailto:todd.starr@mesacounty.us)>; 'Peter Baier' <[peter.baier@mesacounty.us](mailto:peter.baier@mesacounty.us)>  
**Subject:** RE: Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

It's interesting that he copied Bobbie Daniel on this. I'm not sure what his point is... this ship has sailed.

And they love a third party audit, but only the 3<sup>rd</sup> party of their choice. I've seen her experts and I don't want them messing with our equipment.

This is getting old...

---

**From:** Ed Arnos <[transys@bresnan.net](mailto:transys@bresnan.net)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 6:23 PM  
**To:** Coty Davis <[cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)>; [Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us](mailto:Scott.Mcginnis@mesacounty.us); [janet.rowland@mesacounty.us](mailto:janet.rowland@mesacounty.us); [bobbieddaniel@gmail.com](mailto:bobbieddaniel@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Decertification of Mesa County election equipment

I have attached a word file that details why the decertification of the Mesa County election equipment was not justified. The one line summary of the argument is recertification procedures, specified by the Colorado Secretary of State, were already in place and offered the ability to recertify the equipment quickly and at trivial expense.

I have also commented on why taking a disk image of any computer system is a standard maintenance practice, of particularly significant value in managing an election computer system, and should be a system maintenance requirement and not a cause for legal action against the person who takes it.

Also, voter skepticism of election system processes and equipment is destructive to citizen's belief in our democratic system. Refusing to allow 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits of system processes and equipment is high on the list of actions that will maximize that skepticism. We should automate the process of demonstrating the system's ability to correctly scan and tally ballots and the process of recertifying our election equipment. This will allow us to easily demonstrate the system working correctly to the public between elections and to recertify the system after any 3<sup>rd</sup> party audit. When an audit discovers flaws, we know what to fix to become the "Gold Standard".

When we are the "Gold Standard" we will not have to declare it. 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits will confirm it.

I hope you find the attached information useful in avoiding future decertifications.

Ed Arnos



**cody.davis@mesacounty.us Cody Davis**

Wednesday, February 9, 2022 at 7:30:36 AM Mountain Standard Time

To: transys@bresnan.net Ed Arnos

Cc: janet.rowland@mesacounty.us Janet Rowland, bobbiedaniel@gmail.com Bobbie Daniel, scott.mcinnis@mesacounty.us Scott McInnis

Ed,  
I agree with you and, as always, I appreciate your calculated and logical approach to the issue. The problem is not a logical one that can't be solved, but a perception of reality. People have the uncanny ability to believe whatever they want, especially when the need is strong enough. You and I spoke about this at our last meeting. You can perfect the operations of the system to near-perfect security, and people will still believe what they want. That is not to say we shouldn't strive to perfect the system, but, currently, we are bound by state law and actions of the SOS which hamstringing us in that regard. To improve the system locally, we need a new SOS and better elections laws.  
Best,

**Cody Davis**  
**Mesa County Commissioner**



544 Rood Ave  
Grand Junction, CO 81501

**Office:** 970-244-1605**Cell:** 970-640-4330**Email:** [cody.davis@mesacounty.us](mailto:cody.davis@mesacounty.us)**[www.mesacounty.us](http://www.mesacounty.us)**

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 6:22 PM Ed Arnos <[transys@bresnan.net](mailto:transys@bresnan.net)> wrote:

I have attached a word file that details why the decertification of the Mesa County election equipment was not justified. The one line summary of the argument is recertification procedures, specified by the Colorado Secretary of State, were already in place and offered the ability to recertify the equipment quickly and at trivial expense.

I have also commented on why taking a disk image of any computer system is a standard maintenance practice, of particularly significant value in managing an election computer system, and should be a system maintenance requirement and not a cause for legal action against the person who takes it.

Also, voter skepticism of election system processes and equipment is destructive to citizen's belief in our democratic system. Refusing to allow 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits of system processes and equipment is high on the list of actions that will maximize that skepticism. We should automate the process of demonstrating the system's ability to correctly scan and tally ballots and the process of recertifying our election equipment. This will allow us to easily demonstrate the system working correctly to the public between elections and to recertify the system after any 3<sup>rd</sup> party audit. When an audit discovers flaws, we know what to fix to become the "Gold Standard".

When we are the "Gold Standard" we will not have to declare it. 3<sup>rd</sup> party audits will confirm it.

I hope you find the attached information useful in avoiding future decertifications.

Ed Arnos